

Shaneeda Jaffer (SBN 253449)  
 sjaffer@beneschlaw.com  
 Lily A. North (CA 260709)  
 lnorth@beneschlaw.com  
 BENESCH FRIEDLANDER COPLAN &  
 ARONOFF LLP  
 100 Pine Street, Suite 3100  
 San Francisco, California  
 Telephone: (628) 600-2250  
 Facsimile: (628) 221-5828

Gary A. Bornstein (*pro hac vice*)  
 gbornstein@cravath.com  
 Yonatan Even (*pro hac vice*)  
 yeven@cravath.com  
 Lauren A. Moskowitz (*pro hac vice*)  
 lmoskowitz@cravath.com  
 Michael J. Zaken (*pro hac vice*)  
 mzaken@cravath.com  
 CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP  
 Two Manhattan West  
 375 Ninth Avenue  
 New York, New York 10001  
 Telephone: (212) 474-1000  
 Facsimile: (212) 474-3700

*Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.*

Meredith R. Dearborn (SBN 268312)  
 mdearborn@dirllp.com  
 DUNN ISAACSON RHEE LLP  
 345 California Street  
 San Francisco, CA 94104  
 Telephone: (202) 240-2900

Jeannie S. Rhee (*pro hac vice*)  
 jrhee@dirllp.com  
 Karen L. Dunn (*pro hac vice* pending)  
 kdunn@dirllp.com  
 Jessica E. Phillips (*pro hac vice*)  
 jphillips@dirllp.com  
 Martha L. Goodman (*pro hac vice*)  
 mgoodman@dirllp.com  
 DUNN ISAACSON RHEE LLP  
 401 Ninth Street, NW  
 Washington, DC 20004  
 Telephone: (202) 240-2900

*Counsel for Defendant Google LLC*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

EPIC GAMES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD;  
 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,  
 INC.; and GOOGLE LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:24-CV-06843-JD

**STIPULATED AND [PROPOSED] ORDER  
 REGARDING EXPERT STIPULATION**

Judge: Hon. James Donato

1           Upon the agreement of the parties in the above-captioned action (the “Parties”, and  
2 each individually, a “Party”) regarding the scope of disclosures and discovery relating to all experts  
3 (testifying and non-testifying), the Court enters this Order:

4           1.       Except as specifically set forth and/or modified herein, the Parties shall comply with  
5 all of the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26 with regard to the scope of disclosures  
6 and discovery relating to all experts.

7           2.       Any expert retained in connection with the above-captioned action shall be provided  
8 with a copy of this Order.

9           3.       The Parties agree that the only disclosures relating to retained experts (testifying and  
10 non-testifying) and consultants in the above-captioned action shall be those pertaining only to  
11 testifying experts, resulting from and as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2) as  
12 modified or limited by this Order.

13          4.       For purposes both of the disclosure requirement agreed at Paragraph 3 above and also  
14 for purposes of discovery relating to experts, the term “considered” as used in Federal Rule of Civil  
15 Procedure 26(a)(2)(B)(ii) and 26(b)(4)(C)(ii) shall be interpreted as “relied upon.”

16          5.       Unless relied upon by a testifying expert as a basis for his or her opinion(s) expressed  
17 in final expert reports, declarations or other testimony in this litigation, the following categories of  
18 information, whether in documents, communications, or other forms, shall not be discoverable:

- 19           a.       The content of communications between a testifying expert and his or her staff;  
20           b.       The content of communications between, on the one hand, a testifying expert  
21                      and his or her staff and, on the other hand, any other non-testifying experts or  
22                      consultants and their staff;  
23           c.       The content of communications between, on the one hand, a testifying expert  
24                      and his or her staff, and, on the other hand, the Party who retained that expert;  
25           d.       The content of communications between, on the one hand, a testifying expert  
26                      and his or her staff, and, on the other hand, any other testifying experts and  
27                      their staff retained by the same Party; and  
28           e.       Work product prepared by or at the direction of a testifying expert, other than a

1           testifying expert's final report or declaration submitted in this litigation  
2           (including, but not limited to, notes, outlines, memoranda, research and  
3           analyses), as well as communications regarding that work product with that  
4           testifying expert's staff or any other non-testifying experts or consultants.

5           6.       The Parties shall not be obliged to include documents encompassed within the scope  
6 of Paragraph 5 above on any privilege log.

7           7.       Within three calendar days of service of an expert report, declaration, or affidavit, the  
8 Party submitting such report, declaration, or affidavit shall produce all the data, documents, and other  
9 information relied upon by the expert witness as a basis for the expert witness's opinion(s). Data,  
10 documents, and other information relied upon by an expert witness shall include, but are not limited  
11 to, all data, spreadsheets (including formulas embedded in spreadsheet cells, if applicable), statistical  
12 analyses, regression analyses, input and output files for each program or computer code, programs,  
13 computer code, and other sources, reports, schedules, literature, or websites. A detailed readme file  
14 that explains (1) each of the source input files and Bates-numbered data files to be included in each  
15 specific folder of the backup production and (2) the specific order to run each program or computer  
16 code shall also be produced. Bates-numbered documents previously produced by any Party and  
17 documents that are publicly available need not be produced, but a list of any such documents  
18 identified by Bates number (and, for publicly available documents, the location where such materials  
19 can be located) shall be included with the information relied upon by the expert. Neither Party needs  
20 to produce programs or software that (i) are publicly available at reasonable cost and within a  
21 reasonable time and (ii) are not practicable to copy, as long as the Party offering the expert's opinion  
22 provide timely and reasonable access and instructions for purposes of replication or analysis of  
23 disclosed results. Documents that are publicly available must be identified but need not be produced  
24 absent specific request. Documents and data should be produced electronically (via email or storage  
25 device such as hard drive or thumb drive) where appropriate.

26           8.       The production of information relied upon shall be sufficient for the opposing Party  
27 and experts to reconstruct and verify the expert's work, calculations, and analyses, and shall include  
28 any instructions and guides necessary to assist in that effort. The producing Party also shall promptly

1 answer reasonable technical inquiries about data, programs, or code prior to the expert's deposition.

2 9. Without prejudice to a party's rights under Federal Rule of Civil  
3 Procedure 26(b)(4)(D)(i) and (ii), all work product prepared by or at the direction of an expert or  
4 consultant who is not expected to be called as a witness at trial (including, but not limited to, notes,  
5 outlines, memoranda, reports, drafts, research and analyses), as well as communications by or among  
6 such non-testifying experts or consultants or their staff, shall not be discoverable through any means.

7 10. Nothing in this Order shall limit or waive any Party's rights to object for any reason to  
8 the admission of any opposing Party's expert testimony into evidence or to the qualification of any  
9 person to serve as an expert witness.

10 11. The requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(4)(E) shall not apply in this  
11 litigation.

12 12. This Order may be amended only by a subsequent written stipulation among the Parties  
13 or upon order of the Court.

**IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

Dated: October 24, 2025

/s/ Gary A. Bornstein

Shaneeda Jaffer (SBN 253449)

[sjaffer@beneschlaw.com](mailto:sjaffer@beneschlaw.com)

Lily A. North (CA 260709)

[lnorth@beneschlaw.com](mailto:lnorth@beneschlaw.com)

BENESCH FRIEDLANDER COPLAN &  
ARONOFF LLP

100 Pine Street, Suite 3100

San Francisco, California

Telephone: (628) 600-2250

Facsimile: (628) 221-5828

Gary A. Bornstein (*pro hac vice*)

[gbornstein@cravath.com](mailto:gbornstein@cravath.com)

Yonatan Even (*pro hac vice*)

[yeven@cravath.com](mailto:yeven@cravath.com)

Lauren A. Moskowitz (*pro hac vice*)

[lmoskowitz@cravath.com](mailto:lmoskowitz@cravath.com)

Michael J. Zaken (*pro hac vice*)

[mzaken@cravath.com](mailto:mzaken@cravath.com)

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP

Two Manhattan West

375 Ninth Avenue

New York, New York 10001

Telephone: (212) 474-1000

Facsimile: (212) 474-3700

*Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.*

/s/ Jeannie S. Rhee

Jeannie S. Rhee (*pro hac vice*)

[jrhee@dirllp.com](mailto:jrhee@dirllp.com)

Karen L. Dunn (*pro hac vice* pending)

[kdunn@dirllp.com](mailto:kdunn@dirllp.com)

Jessica E. Phillips (*pro hac vice*)

[jphillips@dirllp.com](mailto:jphillips@dirllp.com)

Martha L. Goodman (*pro hac vice*)

[mgoodman@dirllp.com](mailto:mgoodman@dirllp.com)

DUNN ISAACSON RHEE LLP

401 Ninth Street, NW

Washington, DC 20004

Telephone: (202) 240-2900

Meredith R. Dearborn (SBN 268312)

[mdearborn@dirllp.com](mailto:mdearborn@dirllp.com)

DUNN ISAACSON RHEE LLP

345 California Street

San Francisco, CA 94104

Telephone: (202) 240-2900

*Counsel for Defendant Google LLC*

**ORDER**

Pursuant to stipulation, it is so ordered.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
HON. JAMES DONATO  
United States District Court Judge

**E-FILING ATTESTATION**

I, Gary Bornstein, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories identified above has concurred in this filing.

/s/ Gary A. Bornstein  
Gary A. Bornstein